

---

## Leadership and Employee Mental Health in Digital Work Environments

---

Submitted 13/12/25, 1st revision 15/01/26, 2nd revision 20/02/26, accepted 28/03/26

Dariusz Baran<sup>1</sup>, Ernest Górka<sup>2</sup>, Michał Cwiakała<sup>3</sup>, Gabriela Wojak<sup>4</sup>,  
Marcin Agaciński<sup>5</sup>, Monika Wyrzykowska-Antkiewicz<sup>6</sup>,  
Jarosław Chmiel<sup>7</sup>, Artur Frach<sup>8</sup>, Jan Piwnik<sup>9</sup>, Marcin Kęsy<sup>10</sup>

### Abstract:

**Purpose:** This paper examines the major threats to employee mental health in the era of digital transformation, with particular attention to team members working in technology-saturated environments. It analyzes how digital work conditions, including information overload, technostress, permanent online availability, and social isolation, affect psychological well-being. The study also explores organizational and psychological support mechanisms that may mitigate these risks.

**Design/methodology/approach:** The study adopts a conceptual research approach based on a critical review of recent academic literature, international institutional reports, and selected organizational practices. The analysis integrates perspectives from occupational health, organizational behavior, and human resource management. Particular attention is given to psychosocial risk factors associated with digital work environments and evidence-based support interventions.

**Findings:** The analysis suggests that digital transformation has significantly altered working conditions and increased exposure to psychosocial risks. Information overload, FoMO, technostress, work-home boundary erosion, and social isolation are shown to be key determinants of poorer mental well-being among employees. The findings also indicate that

---

<sup>1</sup>Department of Social Sciences and Computer Science Nowy Sącz School of Business - National Louis University, ORCID:0009-0006-8697-5459, [darek.baran@wsb-nlu.edu.pl](mailto:darek.baran@wsb-nlu.edu.pl);

<sup>2</sup>The same as in 1, ORCID:0009-0006-3293-5670, e-mail: [ewgorka@wsb-nlu.edu.pl](mailto:ewgorka@wsb-nlu.edu.pl);

<sup>3</sup>University College of Professional Education in Wrocław, ORCID: 0000-0001-9706-864X, e-mail: [michal.cwiakala@wskz.pl](mailto:michal.cwiakala@wskz.pl);

<sup>4</sup>IM BRAND INSTITUTE Sp. z o.o., Poland, ORCID: 0009-0003-2958-365X, e-mail: [g.wojak@imbrandinstitute.com](mailto:g.wojak@imbrandinstitute.com);

<sup>5</sup>Pomeranian Higher School in Starogard Gdanski, Institute of Management, Economics and Logistics, ORCID: 0009-0009-6003-2913, e-mail: [marcin.agacinski@twojestudia.pl](mailto:marcin.agacinski@twojestudia.pl);

<sup>6</sup>WSB Merito University in Toruń, Poland, ORCID:0000-0002-2755-9352, e-mail: [monika.wyrzykowska@torun.merito.pl](mailto:monika.wyrzykowska@torun.merito.pl);

<sup>7</sup>The same as in 4, ORCID:0009-0007-0003-2589 e-mail: [j.chmiel@imbrandinstitute.com](mailto:j.chmiel@imbrandinstitute.com);

<sup>8</sup>For ARTUR FRACH, ORCID:0009-0006-2252-7868, e-mail: [arturfrach@o2.pl](mailto:arturfrach@o2.pl);

<sup>9</sup>WSB Merito University in Gdańsk, Faculty of Computer Science and New Technologies, Poland, ORCID:0000-0001-9436-7142, e-mail: [jpiwnik@wsb.gda.pl](mailto:jpiwnik@wsb.gda.pl);

<sup>10</sup>The same as in 5, ORCID:0000-0003-3604-4702, e-mail: [marcin.kesy@twojestudia.pl](mailto:marcin.kesy@twojestudia.pl);

*organizational interventions, digital mental health tools, and psychological capital development may improve resilience, engagement, and well-being in contemporary workplaces.*

**Research limitations/implications:** *The paper is conceptual and based on secondary sources rather than original empirical data. Future research should investigate the long-term effects of digital work conditions on mental health across sectors and demographic groups. Additional empirical studies could also assess the effectiveness of different organizational interventions in remote, hybrid, and AI-mediated work settings.*

**Practical recommendations:** *Organizations should treat employee mental health as a strategic HR and leadership issue rather than an optional benefit. Employers should implement evidence-based well-being programs, regulate digital availability, and design work systems that reduce psychosocial overload. Greater attention should also be given to psychologically safe communication, employee autonomy, and support mechanisms tailored to digitally intensive work environments.*

**Keywords:** *Mental health, digital work, technostress, information overload, employee well-being, psychosocial risks.*

**JEL Codes:** *J24, J28, M12, O33.*

**Paper type:** *Conceptual paper.*

**Declaration of interest statement:** *The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.*

## **1. Introduction**

In the era of rapid digital transformation, employee mental health has become one of the central challenges of contemporary human resource management. The development of digital technologies, including artificial intelligence, automation, online communication platforms, algorithmic management systems, and immersive work environments, has fundamentally changed the way teams operate and how work is performed on a daily basis.

Although these changes often improve efficiency, flexibility, and access to information, they simultaneously create new psychosocial risks, such as information overload, social isolation, reduced autonomy, permanent connectivity, and the blurring of boundaries between work and private life (EU-OSHA, 2024; World Health Organization (WHO), 2024).

International evidence also suggests that poor working environments characterized by excessive workloads, low job control, and insecurity pose significant risks to mental health, while depression and anxiety alone account for approximately 12

billion lost working days annually worldwide at a cost of about US\$1 trillion in lost productivity (WHO, 2024).

Recent public and scholarly debate increasingly emphasizes that digital work is not merely a technological issue, but also a human and organizational one. In a World Economic Forum commentary, Wiese (2025) argues that the pace of work, digital pressure, and declining autonomy are changing the meaning and value of work itself, requiring organizations to rethink how work is structured in technology-dominated environments.

Similar concerns are present in occupational safety research, which points to digitalization as a source of emerging psychosocial risks, including cognitive overload, surveillance-related pressure, and reduced employee control over work processes (EU-OSHA, 2024). At the same time, new digital work environments such as the metaverse may offer more immersive forms of collaboration, while also introducing adaptation difficulties, a greater sense of detachment, and new forms of psychological strain (Lavri, 2025).

A growing body of research has also drawn attention to the darker side of digital work. Empirical findings indicate that information overload, feature overload, and fear of missing out on important information may significantly reduce employee mental well-being. Marsh *et al.* (2024) show that the “dark side” of digital work is associated with poorer mental health outcomes, with informational FoMO emerging as a particularly strong predictor.

These effects appear to be especially visible among younger workers. Other studies have linked technostressors to burnout symptoms, emotional exhaustion, and mental distancing from work (Kaltenegger *et al.*, 2023), while research on smartphone use has demonstrated that digital availability outside working hours may increase work-home interference and contribute to burnout (Derks and Bakker, 2014).

Despite the growing literature on digital work, occupational stress, and employee well-being, less attention has been paid to the combined role of organizational design, digital work conditions, and support practices in protecting employee mental health in technology-intensive team environments.

Existing studies often examine information overload, technostress, social isolation, or digital interventions separately, while comparatively fewer contributions integrate these issues into a broader human resource management perspective. This paper addresses that gap by analyzing the key mental health risks faced by team members in the era of new technologies and by reviewing the principal forms of psychological and organizational support that may reduce these risks.

The article contributes to the literature in three ways. First, it integrates recent evidence on psychosocial risks in digital work settings. Second, it highlights the

strategic significance of employee mental health for organizational sustainability and performance. Third, it discusses evidence-based support mechanisms, including digital mental health interventions and psychological capital development, in the context of contemporary HRM.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. The next section discusses the main challenges to employee mental health in digital work environments. The third section examines the major organizational and psychological support mechanisms available to employers. The final section presents the conclusions and implications for future research and practice.

## **2. Mental Health Challenges in the Digital Work Environment**

Digital transformation has brought major improvements to the organization of work, but it has also created a new set of complex risks for employee mental health. Contemporary work environments are characterized not only by a greater dependence on digital tools, but also by higher task intensity, continuous online availability, and the virtualization of interpersonal interactions. These developments may contribute to chronic stress, burnout, lower job satisfaction, and poorer psychological well-being.

One of the most frequently identified risks is information overload. Employees are increasingly required to process large amounts of digital information across multiple systems, channels, and communication platforms. Kaltenecker *et al.* (2023) found that technostressors such as information overload and technological complexity were significantly associated with burnout symptoms, including emotional exhaustion and mental distancing.

Importantly, information overload remained a significant predictor even after controlling for general workload, which suggests that it represents a distinct technology-related stressor rather than merely a by-product of having too much work.

Another important challenge concerns permanent online availability and the erosion of work-life boundaries. In digital work environments, employees are often expected to remain responsive outside standard working hours, especially through smartphones, collaboration platforms, and instant messaging tools.

Derks and Bakker (2014) showed that frequent smartphone use during leisure time significantly increases work-home interference and contributes to burnout.

Their findings suggest that digital availability makes psychological detachment from work more difficult and that this effect is especially strong among intensive smartphone users, for whom inability to disconnect is associated with greater emotional exhaustion.

Social isolation constitutes another major challenge, especially in remote and hybrid work settings. While such work arrangements often increase flexibility, they may also reduce interpersonal contact, emotional connectedness, and informal support. Bareket-Bojmel *et al.* (2022) found that the frequency of remote work is associated with loneliness, which in turn lowers job engagement and weakens employees' emotional attachment to the organization.

These results indicate that remote work may generate a paradox in which organizational flexibility increases while psychological connection declines, creating an urgent need for relationship-oriented management practices.

A related risk is technostress, understood as the psychological strain resulting from continuous adaptation to new technologies and the accelerating pace of digital innovation. Salanova *et al.* (2013) emphasize that technostress may manifest not only in frustration and anxiety, but also in lower self-efficacy, reduced work engagement, and deterioration of social relations in the workplace.

Employees experiencing technostress may also show psychosomatic symptoms, such as headaches or muscular tension, while simultaneously withdrawing from team interactions and becoming less resilient to change.

Recent research has also highlighted the role of FoMO and digitally induced psychological pressure. Marsh *et al.* (2024) provide evidence that informational FoMO, overload, and excessive system functionality can significantly undermine employee mental health, with informational FoMO showing especially strong associations with reduced well-being.

Their findings illustrate how digital work can produce a state of constant vigilance in which employees feel compelled to remain continuously connected to avoid missing potentially relevant information. Such dynamics may be particularly harmful in high-intensity team environments where rapid responsiveness is culturally rewarded.

Institutional evidence supports these concerns. EU-OSHA (2024) notes that digital technologies such as AI, automation, algorithmic monitoring, and corporate communication systems create not only productivity benefits but also new forms of mental pressure.

Among the key psychosocial risks identified are information overload, job insecurity linked to automation, boundary erosion between work and private life, low control over tasks, and increased social isolation.

The report also stresses that algorithmic decision-making may reduce employees' sense of agency and contribute to burnout, especially when systems are poorly designed, insufficiently transparent, or implemented without adequate consultation and training.

Taken together, these findings suggest that digital work environments may significantly alter the psychological conditions under which employees function. The challenge for contemporary organizations is therefore not simply to digitalize work, but to ensure that technology is implemented in ways that protect autonomy, preserve social connectedness, and reduce avoidable mental strain.

### **3. Organizational Support Mechanisms for Employee Mental Health**

Given the growing scale of psychosocial risks associated with digital work, organizations need to adopt active and structured approaches to supporting employee mental well-being. These interventions should be embedded in HR strategy, reinforced by leadership behavior, and adapted to the realities of remote, hybrid, and highly digitalized work.

One of the most effective approaches involves workplace mental health promotion programs. Joyce *et al.* (2016), in a systematic meta-review, found that interventions such as resilience training, stress-management techniques, access to psychological support, and social integration activities can significantly reduce symptoms of depression, anxiety, and burnout.

Importantly, the effectiveness of such interventions depends not only on sector or occupational context, but also on leadership commitment and the quality of internal communication. This is highly relevant from a management perspective, as it suggests that well-being outcomes are shaped not only by the intervention itself but also by the broader organizational environment in which it is introduced.

In recent years, increasing attention has been devoted to digital mental health interventions in the workplace, including cognitive behavioral therapy-based tools, stress-management platforms, and mindfulness applications. Cameron *et al.* (2025), in an umbrella review of systematic reviews, found that such interventions can be effective in reducing stress, anxiety, depression, and burnout symptoms.

Their review also showed that effectiveness depends on several factors, including the type of technology used, the level of tailoring to the user, the availability of human support, and employee characteristics such as age and occupational profile. Notably, mindfulness-based interventions appeared especially promising for overall well-being, while noninteractive psychoeducational tools produced weaker results.

Another important line of support concerns the development of psychological capital (PsyCap), which includes hope, optimism, self-efficacy, and resilience. Luthans *et al.* (2006) conceptualized PsyCap as a strategic human resource that can strengthen both well-being and performance. Subsequent evidence supports this view. Avey *et al.* (2011), in a meta-analysis, found that PsyCap is positively associated with job satisfaction, performance, and desirable work attitudes, while negatively associated with stress and cynicism.

More recent applied research continues to show that interventions aimed at strengthening PsyCap may improve employee resilience and reduce burnout risk when integrated into broader organizational support systems.

From an organizational practice perspective, large companies increasingly recognize that employee mental health should be addressed systemically rather than episodically. Publicly described corporate examples, such as Microsoft's wide range of health and well-being benefits and Google's expansion of mental health support services and manager training, suggest that leading employers increasingly treat mental well-being as part of a broader strategic people agenda (Bonica, 2025; Bell, 2024).

While such examples should not be treated as universal templates, they illustrate a wider shift in employer thinking: mental health support is becoming linked to engagement, retention, and sustainable performance, rather than being framed solely as a welfare initiative.

Your own recent work can also be meaningfully integrated into this perspective. Research by Baran *et al.* (2025) highlights the importance of internal communication quality for effective business management, which directly supports the argument that psychologically safe and well-structured communication is essential for employee well-being. Górka *et al.* (2025) emphasize the role of managerial behaviors in shaping organizational effectiveness, which is relevant here because leadership practices strongly influence how employees experience digitally intensified work.

Similarly, Ćwiąkała *et al.* (2025) show that remote and hybrid working arrangements create managerial and organizational challenges that extend beyond operational efficiency and affect broader workforce functioning. Finally, Kasperczuk *et al.* (2025) underline the importance of work-life balance as a factor in effective management, reinforcing the present article's argument that mental health support cannot be separated from the design of everyday work systems.

Overall, the evidence suggests that effective support for employee mental health in the digital era requires an integrated approach combining organizational, technological, and individual-level interventions. Evidence-based support strategies and corporate practice both indicate that mental health protection is not only ethically important but also strategically valuable, as it may reduce burnout, absenteeism, and disengagement while strengthening performance, loyalty, and long-term organizational resilience.

#### **4. Conclusion**

The contemporary work environment, shaped by intensive digitalization and rapid technological development, presents a growing number of challenges to employee

mental health. Although technological change often increases flexibility and productivity, it also intensifies information overload, technostress, social isolation, permanent online availability, and the erosion of work-life boundaries. In this context, employee well-being can no longer be regarded as a peripheral issue in human resource management.

The analysis presented in this paper indicates that digital work environments may have a substantial negative impact on psychological well-being, especially when organizational systems fail to provide autonomy, support, and adequate communication.

Mental health therefore needs to be understood not only as a humanistic concern but also as a strategic and economic issue for organizations seeking sustainable performance. The evidence reviewed suggests that psychosocial risks in the digital workplace require systematic responses rather than fragmented or reactive measures.

The paper also shows that effective support is possible. Workplace mental health programs, digital psychological interventions, and psychological capital development all offer promising avenues for strengthening resilience and reducing stress, anxiety, and burnout. At the same time, the effectiveness of these interventions depends on broader organizational conditions, including leadership behavior, communication quality, work design, and the regulation of digital availability.

From a theoretical perspective, the article contributes to the literature by integrating recent evidence on psychosocial risks, mental well-being, and organizational support in digitally intensive work environments. From a practical perspective, it suggests that employers should combine responsible technology management with psychologically informed leadership and evidence-based support mechanisms. Such a multi-level approach may improve not only employee well-being, but also engagement, loyalty, and long-term organizational effectiveness.

The article is conceptual in nature and therefore has limitations typical of literature-based analyses. Future research should empirically test the relative effectiveness of different support mechanisms across occupations, age groups, and digital work arrangements. Comparative studies could also examine how national culture, labor regulation, and organizational maturity influence the relationship between digital transformation and employee mental health.

## **References:**

- Avey, J.B., Reichard, R.J., Luthans, F., Mhatre, K.H. 2011. Meta-analysis of the impact of positive psychological capital on employee attitudes, behaviors, and performance. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 22(2), 127-152.

- Baran, D., Górka, E., Ćwiąkała, M., Wojak, G., Grzelak, M., Olszyńska, K., Mrzygłód, P., Frasunkiewicz, M., Ręczajski, P., Ślusarczyk, M., Piwnik, J. 2025. The role of communication in effective business management. *Scientific Papers of the Silesian University of Technology: Organization and Management Series*.
- Bareket-Bojmel, L., Chernyak-Hai, L., Margalit, M. 2022. Out of sight but not out of mind: The role of loneliness and hope in remote work and in job engagement. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 132, Article 107268.
- Bell, M.J. 2024. Mental health awareness and workplace support at Google. *Google Blog*.
- Bonica, O. 2025. Microsoft employee benefits and workplace well-being practices. *WeTest Blog*.
- Cameron, G., Mulvenna, M., Ennis, E., O'Neill, S., Bond, R., Cameron, D., Bunting, A. 2025. Effectiveness of digital mental health interventions in the workplace: Umbrella review of systematic reviews. *JMIR Mental Health*, 12, e67785.
- Ćwiąkała, M., Wojak, G., Baran, D., Górka, E., Bartnik, B., Gajda, W., Ratajski, R. 2025. Financial management challenges in enterprises employing remote and hybrid workforces. *European Research Studies Journal*, XXVIII, Issue 4, 595-615. <https://doi.org/10.35808/ersj/4132>.
- Derks, D., Bakker, A.B. 2014. Smartphone use, work-home interference, and burnout: A diary study on the role of recovery. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 99(3), 411-420.
- EU-OSHA. 2024. Digitalisation and psychosocial risks. *European Agency for Safety and Health at Work*.
- Górka, E., Baran, D., Ćwiąkała, M., Wojak, G., Marczuk, R., Olszyńska, K., Piwnik, J. 2025. Analysis of managerial behaviors in business management. *Scientific Papers of the Silesian University of Technology: Organization and Management Series*.
- Joyce, S., Modini, M., Christensen, H., Mykletun, A., Bryant, R., Mitchell, P.B., Harvey, S. B. 2016. Workplace interventions for common mental disorders: A systematic meta-review. *Psychological Medicine*, 46(4), 683-697.
- Kaltenegger, H.C., Becker, L., Rohleder, N., Nowak, D., Quartucci, C., Weigl, M. 2023. Associations of technostressors at work with burnout symptoms and chronic low-grade inflammation: A cross-sectional analysis in hospital employees. *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health*, 96(6), 839-856.
- Kasperczuk, A., Ćwiąkała, M., Górka, E., Ręczajski, P., Mrzygłód, P. 2025. The role of work-life balance in effective business management. *Scientific Papers of the Silesian University of Technology: Organization and Management Series*.
- Lavri, O. 2025. How will the metaverse impact HR? *HRForecast*.
- Luthans, F., Youssef-Morgan, C.M., Avolio, B.J. 2006. *Psychological capital and beyond: Developing the human competitive edge*. Oxford University Press.
- Marsh, E., Perez Vallejos, E., Spence, A. 2024. Overloaded by information or worried about missing out on it: A quantitative study of stress, burnout, and mental health implications in the digital workplace. *SAGE Open*, 14(2).
- Salanova, M., Llorens, S., Cifre, E. 2013. The dark side of technologies: Technostress among users of information and communication technologies. *International Journal of Psychology*, 48(3), 422-436.
- WHO. 2024. *Mental health at work*. World Health Organization.
- Wiese, J. 2025. Why it's time to revisit the value and meaning of work in the age of AI. *World Economic Forum*.