A Simplified Activity-Based Costing Approach for SMEs: The Case Study of an Italian Small Road Company

Submitted 13/10/19, 1st revision 25/11/19, 2nd revision 12/12/19, accepted 25/01/20

Domenico Raucci¹, Dominique Lepore²³

Abstract:

Purpose: The paper proposes an original conceptual model for designing a simplified Activity-Based Costing (ABC) approach for Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (SMEs) by focusing on the transport sector.

Design/Methodology/Approach: The model is designed starting from the distinctive characteristics of the SMEs' collaborative culture. The approach is then tested in the case of an Italian small-road company.

Findings: The simplified ABC, which was gradually introduced in the SME, allowed the firm to gain confidence with the costing system. Moreover, the discussion of the results led to identifying the main areas to improve.

Practical Implications: Costing systems based on collaboration can lead to operational improvements in SMEs operating in dynamic and competitive sectors as transport. Moreover, advanced technologies may hold a crucial role for their development. **Originality/Value:** Not much research has considered collaboration as a driver for introducing ABC in SMEs. The paper contributes to the literature on simplified managerial approaches, suggesting trends for future research.

Keywords: Activity-based costing, collaboration, SMEs, transportation.

JEL Codes: M41, L25, L91.

Paper type: Research article.

_

¹Economic Studies, University of G. d'Annunzio, Chieti - Pescara, Italy,

e-mail: d.raucci@unich.it (corresponding Author);

²Political Sciences, Communication and International Relations, University of Macerata, Via Don Minzoni, Italy, e-mail: <u>d.lepore@studenti.unimc.it</u>

³Although the article is the result of a collaborative work among authors, the authorship is the following: paragraphs 2, 2.1; 4; 4.1; 4.2 to Domenico Raucci and paragraphs 3; 5.1; 5.2 to Dominique Lepore. Paragraphs 1 and 6 were jointly written.

1. Introduction

Companies in the transport sector struggle to determine their logistics costs, often hidden in overheads, putting at stake their visibility and control (Pohlen and La Londe, 1994). However, the European transport sector is called to provide the best products and services, in time and cost-efficiency. The role of Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (SMEs) in meeting these challenges is critical being key players in supply chains (EC, 2017). The adoption of advanced costing systems, as Activity Based Costing (ABC), can help to identify the real costs of operations and services (Baykasog and Kaplanog, 2008). Moreover, since in a transport company the cost structure is multidimensional, ABC offers a more analytical and accurate perspective (Kock and Weber, 2008).

However, the complexity behind ABC has caused its low adoption, especially among SMEs (Needy *et al.*, 2003) constrained in financial and human capital (Hicks, 1999). Even if, as underlined by Loth (2012), managerial accounting systems, as ABC, can be equally beneficial for SMEs as for large corporations when the benefits do not outweigh the associated costs. Nevertheless, SMEs' distinctive futures and differences in respect to large firms, make the adoption of the "classical" version of ABC an excessive "organizational effort" risking not considering the benefits that can be achieved (Machado, 2012). Therefore, it is necessary to find methodologies able to select data to implement such costing systems, balancing the simplicity required while adjusting to the features of SMEs (Kocakulah *et al.*, 2017).

Not much research focusing on simplified versions of ABC has considered exploiting dimensions linked to a collaborative culture. The paper aims to cover this gap suggesting new trends for future research. As recognized by Askarany *et al.* (2010), smaller firms need more attention than large firms, regardless of the industry, in ABC adoption and cost and information analysis for SMEs cannot be ignored (Grima *et al.*, 2019). The research proposes a model for a simplified ABC approach in an Italian Small Road company combining in an innovative way the framework of Bharara and Lee (1996) and the model of Roztocki *et al.* (2004) to gradually introduce the costing system, making the SME aware of the achievable benefits while exploiting the informal conditions of its collaborative culture. The paper is structured as follows. Firstly, ABC is described considering its implementation in SMEs with a focus on the transport sector. After explaining the methodology, the case study is illustrated discussing the main results. Finally, conclusions and further research suggestions are drawn.

2. Literature Review

Activity-Based Costing (ABC) was introduced at the end of the 1980s as a source of more reliable cost information for products, customers, services and processes overcoming the limits of traditional systems (Berliner and Brimson, 1988). ABC by being centred on activities and on their absorption from different cost objects,

allowed to highlight the risky cross-subsidy phenomenon caused by traditional full costing. The identification of resource and activity drivers, as the way in which activities are linked through the firm's processes according to value generation, determines where to conduct profitable actions (Cokins, 2001). ABC has interested different sectors, as the finance (Vieira and Hoskin, 2005), health (Cinquini *et al.*, 2009) and hospitality (Raab and Maye, 2009). ABC adoption has considered also the logistic and transport sector (Themido *et al.*, 2000) where it becomes essential to know the real cost drivers of services and the cost of activities contributing to production to assess profitability and operation efficiency (Hofmann and Bosshars, 2017). In transport services, ABC approaches are investigated both in private sector from road (Baykasog and Kaplanog, 2008) and forests transport (Nurminen *et al.*, 2009) to public mass transport (Popesko *et al.*, 2016) in rail transportation (Watanapa *et al.*, 2016) and airline industry (Koch and Weber, 2008).

Since the costs structure of a transport company is multidimensional, ABC provides a more analytical perspective (Popesko and Novák, 2011), considering factors, as kind of transport means, locations, and conditions, whose detailed analysis leads to be more strategic for the competitiveness of the sector (Kock and Weber, 2008). Besides these advantages, studies highlighted how compared to manufacturing and other service sectors, the adoption of ABC in transport companies is characterized by greater complexity in measuring outputs, defining activities and specific cost drivers. Activities may be less predictable respect to the services requested and joint capacity represents a high portion of total costs, thus it becomes difficult to link these to activities (Rotch, 1990). The complexity of business processes can increase the load of ABC calculations (Baykasog and Kaplanog, 2006). Consequently, for transport companies, it is difficult determining logistics costs since these are often enclosed in the "hidden factory" (Miller and Volman, 1985) and in transactions causing overhead expenses. This means that managers do not have adequate visibility and control over indirect costs (Pohlen and La Londe, 1994).

In addition to these difficulties, it is necessary to add variables that may challenge ABC implementation related to contextual factors, such as strategy, organizational structure, competition, environmental uncertainty and product diversity (Gosselin, 1997; Al-Omiri, 2011). Among these, company size becomes relevant for ABC adoption (Ahmadzadeh *et al.*, 2011), which is more expensive and labor-consuming to implement and maintain, respect to traditional systems, in an operational context which is "less complex" as that of SMEs. Moreover, in SMEs, training and software requirements may even prohibit its adoption (Özyürek and Yılmaz, 2016) and managers could be let down by time and effort to develop ABC (Needy *et al.*, 2003). SMEs' operative aspects represent a barrier for ABC diffusion in their management control processes, perceived excessive in a cost-benefit analysis (Cooper and Kaplan, 1998). However, SMEs face a modern global context in a hypercompetitive environment where process innovation management and the evaluation of its efficiency and time are key competitive advantages (Havlíček *et al.*, 2013), especially in dealing with the introduction of the new technologies in the transport

sector (Harris *et al.*, 2015). SMEs struggle with the same managerial difficulties as large enterprises and need to straighten their organizational capacity in dealing with turbulent environments (Taekyung and Dongwoo, 2015). Thus, their management accounting and costing systems are crucial in supporting decision-making and business functions (Ahmad, 2017).

SMEs need access to the same informative advantages offered by ABC, especially, those operating in the transport sector dealing with a dynamic competitive context at the same extend as big companies (EPRS, 2016). These requirements come from a sector that in Europe is characterized by large enterprises involved in postal services, air, and rail transport with a relevant number of small players (Eurostat, 2017). These considerations cannot be overlooked by the costing literature. We believe that ABC can offer solutions that, starting from a greater understanding of the limits of adopting advanced system, can help overcome them through a "simplified" and gradual approach tailored for a less complex context.

2.1 Suggesting a Gradual and Simplified ABC Model for SMEs

Small and medium-sized companies are often restrained in their ability to exercise managerial accounting because they lack the necessary resources. Managerial accounting can be beneficial to these firms as it is for large corporations when the benefits of managerial accounting do not outweigh the associated costs (Lohr, 2012). Nevertheless, ABC literature has mainly focused on large firms, both in transport sector than in others (Gosselin, 2007; Schoute, 2004), while studies in SMEs resulted slower (Foroughi *et al.*, 2017). These studies outlined ABC benefits in SMEs proving the usefulness of the system as advantages for profitability and growth (Rìos-Marinquez *et al.*, 2014). Even in businesses producing low volumes of products/services the risk of distortions in the true product/services costs, generated by traditional costing systems occurs. ABC can provide accurate measures of activity costs whose intensity is not proportional to the volume of output produced (Kaplan and Cooper, 1992). In SMEs, ABC can become a valuable management control tool with a positive impact on organizational performance (Needy *et al.*, 2003).

Therefore, the implementation of ABC requires more attention in the context of smaller firms (Askarany *et al.*, 2010). ABC was designed to facilitate accurate cost information regarding production, support activities, specific cost objects, with approaches adaptable to businesses (Kaplan and Cooper, 1992) driving operative improvements (Stevenson *et al.*, 1996). The availability of analytical information can support SMEs in managing relationships between costs, customer value, revenues, profitability and their drivers, enhancing client satisfaction (Kocakulah, 2007). Understanding cost behaviour, SMEs managers can improve decision-making handling the complexities of a globalized context (Foroughi *et al.*, 2017). ABC studies for SMEs highlighted the risks of barriers to its implementation. In these organizations with less than 50 or less than 250 employees, presented as "small" or

"medium" (UE Recommendation, 361/2003), the low diffusion of advanced costing systems was explained by lower operative and structural complexity, financial and human capital constraints, scarce presence of accounting information which can result inaccurate, and by simple management control approaches (Hicks, 1999; Kocakulah *et al.*, 2017). SMEs are based on governance systems where the owner tends to centralize power, with a lack of a clear definition of tasks and responsibilities among employees with a high degree of informality (Barretta, 1999; Sandelin, 2008). These firms usually adopt control processes, rather than formal ones preferring the wider social dimension of personnel and cultural controls, like clan (Maciariello and Kirby, 1994).

The lack of perceived need in adopting advanced costing systems, as ABC, has led to cases of "cultural gap" in SME managers and resistance phenomena in understanding the benefits of using a costing approach as ABC (Rìos-Manriques *et al.*, 2014). SMEs' distinctive futures and low complexity make the adoption of the "classical" version of ABC an excessive "organizational effort" risking not considering the benefits that can be achieved (Machado, 2012). Thus, it is necessary to find cost allocation systems balancing the cost of errors and measurement with the levels of simplicity required adjusting to the features of SMEs (Needy *et al.*, 2003).

Some ABC models for SMEs have been proposed based on a smooth implementation to overcome resistance, even cultural (Stapleton *et al.*, 2004). Studies suggested "simplifications" of ABC since in an environment where there usually is a low product diversity or a unique production schedule, different cost measurement needs emerge (Vercio and Shoemaker, 2007). Others, based on IT potentialities which become economically accessible for SMEs suggest a low-weight ABC (Attewell, 1992), connecting processes, cost tables and cost estimates using effectiveness systems of "expense-activity-dependence" matrix (Roztocki *et al.*, 2004) or multiple-non-commercial tools (Finke and Bušinska, 2011). Studies showed how a deeper understanding of organizational behavioral dimensions in SMEs could represent a driver to favour simplified ABC approaches (Gunasekaran *et al.*, 1999).

Bharara and Lee (1996) propose a model where, instead of simplifying the structure of ABC, it simplifies its introduction focusing on a collaborative approach by interviewing employees in their daily tasks, organizational structure, and operations. Based on high information sharing and using cost data, it is possible to overcome cases or reluctance in devoting time to track activities performed. This involvement, applicable to SMEs, for a lower number of employees and small-medium scale of operations, allows implementing and maintaining a similar ABC approach in an inexpensive way. Moving from these advantages based on behavioural dimensions as Bharara and Lee (1996), we propose and apply a conceptual model for a "simplified" ABC implementation for SMEs exploiting some of the distinctive futures existing in these small contexts.

2.2 A Collaborative Culture to Unlock ABC Potential for SMEs

In suggesting a simplified ABC model for SMEs, we refer to aspects of organisational culture, as trust and strong employee relationships, informal control processes and simple internal communications, lack of bureaucracy within the working environment. These dimensions, identifying a collaborative culture, have been considered as specific SMEs characteristics, that can facilitate ABC implementation (Gunasekaran *et al.*, 1999; Kocakulah *et al.*, 2017). Literature defines culture as a set of values, beliefs and assumptions shared by members of the same organization, influenced by their activities and observable in their behaviours (Schein, 1991). Organizational culture includes the firm's ability to acquiring and sharing the existing knowledge work, whose effectiveness varies among organisations and measurement systems (Ragab and Arisha, 2013).

Employees' knowledge and expertise of working tasks that make efficient and effective the design and the execution of each activity even in the ABC approach are not an isolated object since these aspects are embedded in people. Even if a careful design of ABC can enhance the effectiveness in the costing system, it becomes unsuccessful if there is a lack of willingness in sharing information among organisational members. Studies in the knowledge work framework argued that a culture of trust and collaboration improves knowledge sharing and organisational effectiveness (Sveiby and Simons, 2002). However, since these cultural aspects are SMEs' characteristics, we believe that these would facilitate ABC implementation. The real issue becomes that of measuring collaboration in SMEs. With this aim, in our ABC approach, assuming a contingency perspective, we consider it as an antecedent variable influencing the willingness of employees to share their knowledge on their working tasks from the definition of the activities. We took as a reference Sveiby and Simons (2002) considering the influence that a "collaborative climate" has on knowledge sharing.

Therefore, in a specific business context higher the level of collaborative, higher is the contribution of shared knowledge offered to organizational members and thus, the support for an effective ABC implementation. We view collaboration as a driver of success in designing a "simplified" ABC to compensate for the technical and procedural simplifications useful to SMEs, with a suitable level of accuracy in line with their informational flexibility. Meiryani (2014) shows that the involvement of users in costing system development is a factor that influences the success of its implementation and should be encouraged in ABC approaches (Raucci and Lepore, 2015; Hooze and Ngo, 2017). When collecting data to design costing systems, SMEs can benefit from open communication coming from a collaborative climate and not formalized processes of tmanagement control (Pusic et al., 1998). To overcome the limits of the "classical" ABC in SMEs, our conceptual model is based on the second step of technical integration, which starts from the model of Roztocki "simplified" matrixes "Expense-Activityet al. (2004). This model with Dependence" (EAD) and "Activity-Product-Dependence" (APD) includes estimations based on an educated guess or systematic appraisal (via an analytic hierarchical process) collecting data in a short time and low cost to allocate overhead cost to products, reaching the accuracy desired. Based on the fruitful integration of the collaborative climate and of the smooth procedure, we present an application of our conceptual model for a gradual and "simplified" implementation of ABC in SMEs. We apply such a model in the transport sector, where factors, such as congestion, decarbonization and digital transition, are expected to increase demand for transport in the EU especially for SMEs who will play an ever-increasing role in meeting this demand (EPRS, 2016).

The European transport sector must have the capacity to deliver the best products and services, in a timely and cost-effective manner. The role of SMEs in meeting these challenges is critical in the role of key players in supply chains (EC, 2017). Nevertheless, SMEs still use traditional methods ignoring advanced ones, as ABC (Ahmand, 2017). Transport companies, mainly SMEs, are rarely focused on cost calculation even if improved costing models can be valuable for their competitiveness. Advanced systems allow considering can operational characteristics of different transport services, improving decision-making and profitability. To overcome this gap, the case study presents the application of ABC in a small road company located in Italy, where SMEs represent 99.9% of firms and the road transport is a leading sector among the first six EU countries with Germany, Spain, Poland, UK, and France (ANFIA 2017).

3. Methodology

The research is based on a case study following Yin (2013). ABC design and implementation in the Small Road company took place from September 2017 till April 2018. The model was designed involving managers and employees. Firstly, we captured insights into the collaborative climate existing in the SME with interviews and direct observation. We considered the scale of Sveiby and Simons (2002) for interviewing managers and employees on dimensions that identify a "collaborative climate", referring to organizational culture, immediate supervisor, employee attitude and workgroup support. These dimensions identify the SMEs' characteristics that can facilitate ABC implementation (Kocakulah *et al.*, 2017). An interview of 30 minutes was set with the two managers asking their expectations behind the adoption of an ABC system in line with Bharara and Lee (1996). Further face-to-face interviews of 15 minutes were set with the administrative and maintenance unit. Instead, 15 minutes telephone interviews were carried out from a sample of 30 of the drivers, identified by the managers and administrative office.

After, a first meeting was set involving managers and administrative employees to identify direct and indirect costs. Starting from macro activities, the specific ones have been recognised with their activity cost driver, and three key routes were selected, while a residual one set as "other routes" (n.4). Once that the cost objects were defined, a new meeting was scheduled involving employees from the

maintenance unit and drivers of those routes to explain the need for ABC and in involving them in its design. Contrarily from "classical" ABC, the "Expense-Activity-Dependence" (EAD) as suggested by Roztockichi *et al.* (2004) was designed applying the educated guess technique, already suggested when data is not available (Themido *et al.* 2000) while noticing the collaborative context during the sessions. Next, indirect costs were allocated to the routes through activity-cost drivers and then based on the revenues of the routes, the profits were calculated.

3.1 The Case Study

The Small Road company selected is in the centre of Italy and offers transport services for both national and international companies. Its activities involve transport, national and international shipping, storage of goods and all related logistics activities. Currently, the company has 80 road tractors, 50 trucks, 80 trailers, and 150 semi-trailers. The company with two company managers is organized around three main departments: (1) Administrative office with 15 employees involved in accounting and administrative activities; (2) Transportation with 110 drivers; (3) Maintenance unit with 10 mechanics and 5 lift-truck operators.

3.2 Designing ABC in the SME Road Company

The development of the simplified model was based on data from the balance sheet complemented with meetings with the two managers, employees for the administrative and maintenance area and selected drivers. Firstly, the routes of interest were identified selecting three main ones for the analysis, whereas a fourth one was set to collect costs of all the other residual ones indicated as "other routes", indicated as *Route 4*. The first three routes are the principal ones offered to clients with the most homogeneous costs. The "other routes" includes minor routes of a different kind, not continuously offered and in most cases on-demand:

- Route 1: SULMONA COMO / MILANO SULMONA; 300 times per year (beverage transport);
- Route 2: ORICOLA NOGARA (VR) / NOGARA ORICOLA; 200 times per year (beverage transport);
- Route 3: CORFINIO VERONA (transport of drywall / VERONA ROMA (milk transport); trip 180 times per year.

Based on accounting data, direct and indirect costs were identified (Table 1).

Direct costs	1	2	3	4	Total			
Gross wages	106.463,00	64.452,32	58.489,02	3.027.044,66	3.256.449,00			
Tools	71.190,00	1.178.881,93						
Fuel	163.800,00 82.340,00 75.240,00 3.772.576,86							
Total	341.453,00	183.552,32	173.365,02	7.830.917,45	8.529.287,79			
Indirect costs								
Insurance	409.749,87							
Depreciation	206.417,00							
Revision vehicles	34.657,44							
Lubricants	70.971,38							
Maintenance	942.755,86							
Damages Expenses	68.504,94							
Stationery and soft	18.889,29							
Telephone and open	42.862,77							
Total	1.794.808,55							

Table 1. Direct and Indirect costs (€)

The team mapped the "macro" activities as shipment preparation, loading operation, transport, unloading operation and invoicing recognizing the specific ones with their activity cost driver. Then the matrix EAD was designed relating activities and costs, including checkmarks for the costs involved in the activity of the column. These marks were then substituted with a percentage representing the amount of resources used by the activity, through an educated guess. To translate the coefficient in monetary terms, the formula employed by Roztcki *et al.* (2014) was used (Table 2). The coefficient formula is given in equation (1):

$$TCA(i) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} Expense(j) \times EAD(i,j)$$
 (1)

Table 2. Matrix EAD (€/1.000)

Indirect	Gross Wages	Insurance	Deprec.	Revision	Lubricant	Maintaince	complaints	Stationary software	Phone operator	Total
Orders received	0,135							0,07	1,29	1,495
Elaboration order	0,135							0,11	0,21	0,455
Shipment order	0,081	16,39		0,35		9,43		0,13	1,29	27,67
Recording goods	0,054							0,06	0,21	0,324

Planning transport	0,135	24,58		0,35		9,43		0,47	0,43	35,39
Loading	0,135		5,16	0,69	1,06	18,85	0,68			26,57
Transport	1,62		10,32	1,38	4,97	37,71	2,74			58,74
Uploading	0,135		5,16	0,69	1,06	18,85	0,68			26,57
Complains and returns	0,054						2,74	0,28	0,43	3,50
Duties	0,081							0,38	0,21	0,67
Payment invoices	0,135							0,38	0,21	0,72

After determining the activity drivers (Table 3), the total indirect activity-based costs per route (Table 4) and the unitary profits were calculated (Table 5).

 Table 3. Activity drivers

Activity	Activity Driver (AD)	Ruote 1	Ruote 2	Ruote 3	Ruote 4	Total AD
Orders received	N.contact by client	350	220	200	12100	12.870
Elaboration order	Length transaction	0,33h*300 =99	0,33h*200 =66	0,33h*180 =59,4	0,33h*12060 =3979,8	4.204,2
Shipment order	N.shipments	300	200	180	12060	12.740
Recording goods	N.documents	400	300	280	12150	13.130
Planning transport	N.working orders	300	200	180	12060	12.740
Loading	Hours loading	4,16h*300 =1248	4,16h*200 =832	5,5h*180 =990	4,83h*12060 =58249,8	61.319,8
Transport	Distance	393.600	208.800	191.520	12.060.000	12.853.920
Uploading	Hours uploading	4,66h*300 =1398	3,66h*200 =732	4,99h*180 =898,2	4,44h*12060 =53546,4	56.574,6
Complains and returns	N.complaints	15	10	13	60	98
Duties	N.duties received	300	200	180	12060	12.740
Payment invoices	N.payments	12	12	12	600	636

Indirec Unitar Total Total Activity Ruote 2 t Costs v costs Ruote 1 Ruote 3 Ruote 4 AD Costs (€) AD Orders 14.950 12.870 1,16 406,00 255,20 232,00 14.036,00 14.929,2 received Elaboratio 4.550 4.204.2 1.08 106,92 71.28 64.15 4.298.18 4.540.53 n order Shipment 276.700 12.740 21,72 6.516,00 4.344,00 3.909,60 261.943,20 276.712,8 order Recording 3.240 13.130 0.25 100,00 75.00 70.00 3.037.50 3.282,5 goods Planning 353.900 12.740 27,78 8.334,00 5.556,00 5.000,40 335.026,80 353.917,2 transport Loading 265.700 61.319,8 4,33 5.403,84 3.602,56 4.286,70 252.221,63 265.514,73 12.853.92 19.680,0 10.440,0 Transport 587.400 0.05 9.576,00 603.000,00 642.696 56.574,6 Uploading 265.700 4,70 6.570,60 3.440,40 4.221,50 251.668,08 265.900,58 Complains 35.000 98 357,14 5.357,10 3.571,40 4.642,82 21.428,40 34.999.72 and returns 12.740 6.624,8 Duties 6.700 0,52 156,00 104.00 93,60 6.271,20 Payment 7.200 636 11,32 135,84 135,84 135,84 6.792,00 7.199.52

Table 4. Allocation indirect costs (€)

Table 5. Profits per routes (€)

invoices

Total

Routes	Revenues (unitary)	Total costs (directs and indirects activity-based)	N.trips (in the year)	Costs (unitary)	Profits (unitary)	
1	1.693,00	394.219,30	300	1.314,06	378,94	
2	1.230,00	215.148,00	200	1.075,74	154,26	
3	1.210,00	205.597,63	180	1.142,21	67,79	

52.766,3

31.595,6

32.232,6

1.759.722,9

1.876.317,5

8

4. Discussion

4.1 Exploring the Collaborative Culture of the SME

To gain a preliminary picture of the collaborative culture, an interview was scheduled with the managers. When asked to describe their relationship with employees, both agreed to try to involve most of them in decision-making processes, asking for suggestions, especially when issues occur. This statement related mainly to employees in the administrative area, maintenance unit and drivers of the leading company routes. Both recognized that improvements could be made organizing more regular meetings. Then, after explaining the ABC functions, the managers

confirmed to be willing to adopt it to achieve data to improve the activities of the company and facilitate the control over costs. On the other hand, the administrative employees underlined their involvement in decision making and felt to contribute to the definition of the company's policies. They stated to collaborate with most drivers, especially those of the leading routes and with the unit of maintenance.

To the same extent, employees from the maintenance unit asserted that the two managers ask information and suggestions on how to improve the company's performance organizing meetings to which selected drivers participate together with the administrative area. Instead, drivers from the leading routes, expressed that they felt distant from decision-making processes in the company and some stated not to meet so often the two managers. Even if, they participated in occasional meetings to provide information about the routes when problems and changes occurred. Whereas, they stated to be in touch with employees of the maintenance units, administrative area and drivers of the same route. Drivers on-demand revealed to feel even more unaware of the company's decision-making processes, while closely in touch with selected drivers operating in their same routes.

4.2 Information Potential for SME in the Transport Sector

The initial attempt to design the simplified ABC approach has provided insights for the small road company enhancing its interests towards the costing system. The company did not use any costing system before and did not consider the possibility of implementing an advanced costing system. The climate of the SMEs resulted collaborative with exceptions from drivers based on their route. Knowledge sharing among employees was confirmed during the model design. Different activities were considered for EAD Matrix, from order received, planning transport to payment invoices. Appropriate activyt (cost) drivers were selected as presented in Tables (3 and 4). Some concerns were raised by drivers in establishing time drivers for the length of the transaction and the hours of loading and unloading. The administrative employees stated that the SME had never considered monitoring these aspects since they didn't recognize their direct impact on the cost structure of the company. The greatest revelation was to find that the leading routes represented just a small portion (6%) of the total costs. Considering the costs of activities made the company members aware of which were the costly ones and how they distributed themselves among the leading routes. The staff was not surprised in discovering that the profitable route among the three leading ones was n.1 being the most frequent one. Major concerns were raised on the third route for the large amount of indirect costs respect to the revenues. Discussion about client management raised suggestions about defining the length of transaction for the activity of elaborating the order for the routes, set equally as 0.33 h. Such a result made the company aware of the need to consider different policies in managing orders from clients, as in the case of new and old clients.

These issues were part of the final discussion when considering the profits gained from route n.3 respect to the other two leading routes. This was related to the impact of loading and unloading activities. Drivers on the route n.3 revealed that there were persistent time management problems with selected customers, starting from the arrival of drivers, leading to time gaps between load and upload operating. The discussion made the managers aware of the need toinvolve drivers in decision-making to gain information on these issues and to define different policies for customers. An interesting inquiry was raised by an administrative employee concerning to the way some activity driver was measured. The employee considered complaints and return, asking for the future to measure the time needed to manage each complaint. The same employees raised a discussion about using the number of orders both for the shipment order and planning transport, outlining the possibility of employing time drivers to have a complete picture of how planning transport is managed among the routes.

Overall, the informal conditions in the SME confirmed a knowledge sharing culture that allowed to establish a beneficial discussion in all stages of the design. Managers, administrative employees, and drivers were involved in the process and showed a willingness to contribute. From the final meeting, the managers considered the outcomes useful for understanding the company's activities and gain information from the allocation of costs expressing the intention to further exploit the model. Through ABC, it became possible to understand the origin of costs in terms of complains and returns, unloading and loading operation. Moreover, considering the impact of the fourth rote to the cost structure of the company, the managers are considering breaking down the route 4, categorizing some of the most frequent routes on-demand respect to the others. Therefore, administrative employees started to suggest ways to investigate more in deep route n.4 considering some common characteristics, minimizing the residual part of costs in a new route n.5.

5. Conclusions

The study introduced a conceptual model for a simplified and gradual implementation of a simplified ABC approach in a SME in the transport sector, where demand is expected to increase due to digital transition (EPRS, 2016). The climate was captured through interviews and direct observation, designing the model with managers and staff. The gradual introduction of the model proved to be beneficial in raising the attention of the company on the possibility of introducing advanced costing systems exploiting knowledge sharing among peers and with superior. By presenting a gradual implementation of the model we agree with Turney's (1991) observation according to who it is necessary to demonstrate the benefits achievable from the use of ABC to be able to generate interest in its adoption. We further confirm the vision of Beng *et al.* (1994), stressing the need to better educate managers and entrepreneurs, so that adoption of the concept will become more widespread. The SME, after understanding the impact that activities have on the cost structure, is considering adopting ABC not only to keep control of

the cost of its activities but mainly to improve its operative mechanisms, as in managing different customers.

The model we propose starts from the premise that the collaborative climate is an antecedent for its successful implementation. Nevertheless, the research has some limitations. First, more accurate measurement and analysis of a collaborative climate are required since results are based only on a qualitative approach. Second, the research is based on a single case, therefore results cannot be generalized. Therefore, further cases are required to give validity to the overall model also respect to different sectors and countries. Further aspects to consider are related to the adoption of new technologies in SMEs and how these can affect sharing knowledge within the organisation.

References:

- Ahmadzadeh, T., Etemadi, H., Pifeh, A. 2011. Exploration of factors influencing on choice the activity-based costing system in Iranian organizations. International Journal of Business Administration, 2(1), 61-70.
- Ahman, K. 2017. The implementation of management accounting practices and its relationship with performance in Small and Medium Enterprise. International Review of Management and Marketing, 7(1), 342-353.
- Al-Omiri, M. 2011. A survey study of the organization and behavioural factors influencing the adoption and success of ABC in KSA companies. Cost Management (March/April), 29-42.
- ANFIA. 2017. Studi e Statistiche: https://www.anfia.it/it/studi-e-statistiche.
- Askarany, D., Yazdifar, H., Askary, S. 2010. Supply chain management, activity-based costing and organisational factors. International Journal of Production Economics, 127(2), 238-248.
- Attewell, P. 1992. Technology diffusion and organizational learning: the case of business computing. Organization Science, 3(1), 1-19.
- Barretta, A. 1999. Struttura organizzativa e controllo di gestione nelle PMI italiane. Rivista dei Dottori Commercialisti, 50(2), 241-264.
- Baykasogʻlu, A., Kaplanoglu, V. 2008. Application of activity-based costing to a land transportation company: A case study. International Journal of Production Economics, 116(2), 308-324.
- Beng, A.K., Schoch, H., Yap, T. 1994. Activity based costing in the electronics industry: The Singapore experience. Small Business and Entrepreneurship, 11(2), 28-37.
- Berliner, C., Brimson, J.A. 1988. Cost management for today's advanced manufacturing. The CAM-I conceptual design. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Bharara, A., Lee, C.Y. 1996. Implementation of an activity-based costing system in a small manufacturing company. International Journal of Production Research, 34(4), 1109-1130
- Cinquini, L., Vitali, P.M., Pitzalis A. and Campanale, C. 2009. Process view and cost management of a new surgery technique in hospital. Business Process Management Journal, 15(6), 895-919.
- Cokins, G. 2001. Activity-Based Cost Management: An executive guide. John Wiley and Sons, New York.

- Cooper, R., Kaplan, R.S. 1992. Activity-Based System: Measuring the Costs of Resource Usage. Accounting Horizons, 1-13.
- Cooper, R., Kaplan, R.S. 1998. Cost and effect. Boston Press, Harvard Business School.
- EPRS. 2016. "European Parliamentary Research Service, Marketa Pape Thijs Vandenbussche, Members' Research Service", PE 593.533.
- European Commission Decision, C.(2017)2468 of 24 April 2017, Horizon 2020, Work Programme 2016 2017, "Innovation in SMEs", 1-62.
- Eurostat. 2017. Available at https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/.
- Finke, A., Bušinska, L. 2011. Technology for Light-Weight ABC in SME. In Information Technologies, Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Information and Software Technologies (IT 2011), Lithuania, Kaunas. Technologija, 149-156.
- Foroughi, A., Kocakulah, M., Stott, A., Manyoky, L. 2017. Activity-Based Costing: Helping Small and Medium-Sized Firms Achieve a Competitive Edge in the Global Marketplace. Research in Economics and Management, 2470-4393.
- Gosselin, M. 1997. The effect of strategy and organizational structure on the adoption and implementation of activity-based costing. Accounting Organization Society, 22(1), 105-122.
- Gosselin, M. 2007. A Review of Activity-Based Costing: Technique, Implementation, and Consequences. In Chapman, C.S., Hopwood A.G., Shields, M.D. (Ed.), Handbook of Management Accounting Research, New York, Elsevier, 641-671.
- Grima, S, Asllani, G. Spiteri, J., Daka, N. 2019. The Cost and Information Management Effect in SMEs: An Empirical Analysis. European Research Studies Journal, 22(3), 360-369.
- Gunasekaran, A., Marri, M.B., Grieve, R.J. 1999. Justification and implementation of activity-based costing in small and medium-sized enterprises. Logistics Information Management, 12(5), 386-394.
- Harris, I., Wang, Y., Wang, H. 2015. ICT in multimodal transport and technological trends: Unleashing potential for the future. International Journal of Production Economics, 159, 88-103.
- Havlíček, K., Thalassinos. I.E., Berezkinova, L. 2013. Innovation Management and Controlling in SMEs. European Research Studies Journal, 16(4), 57-70, Special Issue on SMEs.
- Hicks, D. 1999. Activity-Based Costing: Making it Work for Small and Mid-Sized Businesses. John Wiley and Sons.
- Hofmann, E., Bosshard, J. 2017. Supply chain management and activity-based costing: Current status and directions for the future. International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management, 47(8), 712-735.
- Hoozée, S., Ngo, Q.H. 2017. The Impact of Managers' Participation in Costing System Design on Their Perceived Contributions to Process Improvement. European Accounting Review Forthcoming, 1-24.
- Kocakulah, M.C, Foroughi, A., Stott, A., Manyoky, L. 2017. Activity-Based Costing: helping Small and Medium-Sized firms achieve a competitive edge in the global marketplace. Journal of Accounting and Marketing, 6, 245.
- Kocakulah, M. 2007. ABC implementation for the small service company. Cost Management, (March/April), 34-39.
- Koch, R., Weber, W. 2008. Cost and revenue planning and control at Stuttgart airport. Journal of Airport Management, 2(2), 120-131.
- Kostovska, G., Gecevska, V., Jovanoski, D. 2006. Implementation of Activity Based Costing (ABC) in small and medium companies. Mechanical Engineering, 25(1), 27-31.

- Lohr, M. 2012. Specificities of Managerial Accounting at SMEs: Case Studies from the German Industrial Sector. Journal of Small Business and Entrepreneurship, 25(1), 35-55.
- Machado, M. 2012. Activity Based Costing Knowledge: Empirical study on small and medium size enterprises. Revista Contemporânea de Contabilidade, 9(18), 167-186.
- Maciariello, J.A., Kirby, C.J. 1994. Management control systems: using adaptive systems to attain control. (2nd ed.), Englewood Cliffs, Prentice Hall.
- Meiryani, S. 2014. Influence user involvement on the quality of accounting information systems. International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research, 3(8), 118-124
- Miller, J.G., Vollman, T.E. 1985. The Hidden Factory. Harvard Business Review, 5(37). 142-150.
- Needy, K.L., Nachtmann, H., Roztocki, N., Warner, R.C., Bidanda, B. 2003. Implementing activity-based costing systems in small manufacturing firms: A field study. Engineering Management Journal, 15(1), 3-10.
- Nurminen T., Korpunen H., Uusitalo, J. 2009. Applying the activity-based costing to cut-to-length timber harvesting and trucking. Silva Fennica, 43(5).
- Özyürek, H, Yılmaz, M. 2015. Application of Costing System in the Small and Medium Sized Enterprises (SME) in Turkey. International Journal of Economics and Management Engineering, 9(1), 389-397.
- Pohlen, T., Londe, B. 1994. Implementing Activity-Based Costing (ABC) in logistics. Journal of Business Logistics, 15(2), 11-12.
- Popesko, B., Novák, P. 2011. Activity-Based Costing Application in an Urban Mass Transport Company. Journal of Competitiveness, 4, 51-65.
- Popesko, B, Bata, B. Kolkova, A. 2016. Profitability analysis of urban mass transport lines using acitivity based costing methods: An evidence from the Czech Republic. Journal of Applied Engineering Science, 14(3), 335-344.
- Raab, C. and Mayer, K. 2009. Activity-based pricing: can it be applied in restaurants? International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management, 21, 393-410.
- Ragab, A.F.M., Arisha, A. 2013. Knowledge management and measurement: a critical review. Journal of Knowledge Management, 17(6), 873-901.
- Raucci, D., Lepore, D. 2015. The role of participation in the design of time equations in the time-driven activity-based costing a systematic review of the literature. Journal of Applied Economic Sciences, 10(3), 337-352
- Rìos-Manríquez, M., Munoz, C.C., Rodríguez-Vilarino, L. 2014. Is the activity-based costing system a viable instrument for small and medium enterprises? The case of Mexico. Estudios Gerenciales, 30, 220-232.
- Rotch, W, 1990. Activity Based costing in service industries. Journal of Cost Management, 4(2), 4-14.
- Roztocki, N., Valenzuela, J.F., Porter, J.D., Monk, R.M., Needy, K.L. 2004. A Procedure for Smooth Implementation of Activity-Based Costing in Small Companies. Engineering Management Journal, 16(4), 19-27.
- Sandelin, M. 2008. Operation of management control practices as a package-A case study on control system variety in a growth firm context. Management Accounting Research, 19(4), 324-343.
- Schein, E.H. 1991. Organizational culture and leadership. Jossey-Bass Publishers, San Francisco.

- Schoute, M. 2004. Determinants of the adoption and use of activity-based costing. Paper presented to the American Accounting Association Management, Accounting Section Meeting, Miami, Florida, USA.
- Stapleton, D., Pati, S.E. Beach, E., Julmanichoti, P. 2004. Activity-based Costing for Logistics and Marketing. Business Process Management Journal, 10(5), 584-597.
- Stevenson, T.H., Barnes, F.C., Stevenson, S.A. 1996. Activity-based costing: Beyond the smoke and mirrors. Review of Business, 25-31.
- Sveiby, K.E., Simons, R. 2002. Collaborative climate and effectiveness of knowledge work—an empirical study. Journal of Knowledge Management, 6(5), 420-433.
- Themido, I., Arantes, A., Fernandes, C., Guedes, A.P. 2000. Logistic costs case study-an ABC approach. Journal of the Operational Research Society, 51(10), 1148-1157.
- Turney, P.B.B. 1991. How Activity-Based Costing Helps Reduce Cost. Journal of Cost Management, Winter, 29-35.
- Vercio, A., Shoemaker, B. 2007. ABCs of batch processing: Assign the batch cost to the product that required the batch activity? Maybe not! Journal of Accountancy, 1-5.
- Vieira, R., Hoskin, K. 2005. Power, Discourses and Accounting Change: The Implementation of Activity Based Costing in a Portuguese Bank. In 28th annual, Congress of the European Accounting Association, Gothenburg, Sweden.
- Watanapa, A., Pholwatchana, S., Wiyaratn, W. 2016. Activity-Based Costing Analysis for train station service. Engineering Journal, 20(5), 135-144.
- Yin, R.K. 2013. Case Study Research: Design and Methods. Sage Publications, California, USA.